National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

2nd Minutes of Meetings of Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (TEC) for "Construction of 2 laning with Hard shoulder of Peren- Dimapur section on NH 129A from Design Km 109.494 to Km 126.775 (Length - 17.281 Km) in the state of Nagaland on EPC mode (Package I) under NH(O)- TSP)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi at on 03.09.2020.

The bids for the subject work were invited and bids were received online on scheduled bid due date of 10.08.2020 at 1100 hrs.

- 2. The following bidders have submitted their bids online.
 - (i) M/s Agarwal Global Infratech Private Limited
 - (ii) M/s Anusha Projects
 - (iii) M/s BKD Infrastructure
 - (iv) M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited
 - (v) M/s CSR Infratech India Private Limited
 - (vi) M/s Espan Infrastructure (I) limited
 - (vii) M/s Jayzee Construction
 - (viii) M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited
 - (ix) M/s Mayasheel Construction JPS Projects Private Limited (JV)
 - (x) M/s Naagaamii Infratech Private Limited
 - (xi) M/s MSR Constructions -LNS Infrastrutures (JV)
 - (xii) M/s Narendra Sharma
 - (xiii) M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited
 - (xiv) M/s RSM Infraprojects
 - (xv) M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited Viva Infrastructure Private Limited (JV)
 - (xvi) M/s Singh Construction Company
 - (xvii) M/s Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited
 - (xviii) M/s Valecha Engineering Limited
 - (xix) M/s Jainco Enterprises Private Limited
 - (xx) M/s T.T.C Infra India
 - (xxi) M/s Rajkeshari Projects Limited
 - (xxii) M/s GHV (India) Private Limited.
- 3. The Evaluation Committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of Rs 189.35 Crore.

Sr.No.	Particulars	Amount in Rs.
1	Estimated Project Cost	189.35
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	56.81
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	10.74
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	20.40
	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	18.93
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	One half of the Project Cost of eligible projects as defined in

AjayA

M

Ayh

Pagi 1 of 10

		clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d).
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4 , the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	18.93
9	Minimum Net worth Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	9.47
10	Minimum Net worth Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.68
11	Minimum Net worth Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.89
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	37.87
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	22.72
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.57
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	94.68
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	56.81
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	18.94

- 4. The Evaluation Committee during evaluation found that some of the data/information provided by the bidders is not adhering to the clauses given in the RFP document, so it was proposed that the clarification may be sought from the bidders as per clause no 3.1.4 of the RFP to facilitate the evaluation process. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) vide its meeting held on 27.08.2020 had decided that the clarification as requested by the Technical Division is to be sought from the respective bidders by the Technical Division.
- 5. In Continuation to 1st Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) held on 27.08.2020, replies received from the bidders, the Evaluation report were deliberated by the TEC in 2nd meeting held on 02.09.2020. The Committee observed that most of the bidders have submitted the financial capacity such as turnover and Net worth of FY 2018-19, FY 2017-18, FY 2016-17, FY 2015-16 & FY 2014-15 and have not submitted the undertaking as per clause 2.2.2.8(ii). Accordingly, the Committee considered the financial account of FY 2018-19 to 2015-16 for such bidders who have not submitted the Audited Financial account of 2019-20. The remarks of ETEC w.r.t the observations and reply received are tabulated below:

S.No	Name of the Bidder	Clarification to be sought	Reply received by the bidder	NHIDCL's Comment
1	M/s Agarwal Global Infratech Private Limited	 (i) UDIN No. depicts the value of Turn over instead of the net - worth. Please clarify (ii) UDIN ICAI certificate does not depict the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify. (iii) Appendix X, Appendix XI not provided in the RFP format. (iv) The Configuration of road i.e. whether 	(i) The bidder has submitted corrected UDIN No. which shows Net worth on the UDIN Portal. (ii) The bidder has provided the UDIN No which indicate the turnover on the UDIN Portal. (iii) The bidder has provided the Appendix X, XI as per RFP format. (iv) The bidder has submitted completion certificate for the project code "A to E" and project code "C" has been considered	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.

M

the fin

Page 2 of 10

		NH/SH/other is not clear with the certificate. Please submit a clear certificate regarding the same.		
2	M/s Anusha Projects	(i) Appendix X, XI not provided in the RFP format.	(i) Bidder has submitted provisional 2019-2020 Net worth Certificate certified by Statutory Auditor and the same have been notified in the UDIN Portal. (ii) Bidder has submitted Appendix XI as per RFP format	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Bidder has submitted provisional 2019-2020 Net worth Certificate certified by Statutory Auditor, which is not considered by the committee. The net worth of FY 2018-2019 as per balance sheet has been considered and the bidder meets the minimum requirement as per RFP. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
3	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	(i) As per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of the RFP, undertaking from the statutory auditor to be submitted regarding non submission of Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20 (ii) Project Code "F" provided for Technical Threshold capacity shows invalid UDIN. Please Clarify	(i) The bidder has submitted the undertaking letter for the non submission of the Audited Balance Sheet for FY 2020-2019. (ii) Correct UDIN No has been submitted by the bidder	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee and found to be in order. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
4	M/s CSR Infratech India Private Limited	2016-17 Turnover value of Statuary Auditor with the UDIN Portal. Please clarify. (ii) The Configuration of road i.e. whether	i) The bidder had clarified that the turnover for FY 2016-17 (Rs 37,89,07,364/-) has been considered by them from the certified statutory Auditor. ii) The project details have been provided by the bidder which states that	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. The bidder had clarified that the turnover for FY 2016-17 (Rs 37,89,07,364/-) has been considered by them from the certified statutory Auditor ,but the turnover for FY

AjoyA

M

De

m

Page 3 of so

		submit a clear certificate regarding the same. (iii) Year wise breakup of receivable value in civil work is not reflected in UDIN Portal. Please clarify. (iv) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III is not visible. Please Clarify. (v) Appendix X, XI not provided in the RFP format.	the work falls under LWE. iii) The bidder has stated that total amount received in the work has been stated by Statutory Auditor in the UDIN portal. iv) Banker detail has been provided by the bidder	2016-17 (Rs 34,72,82,060/-) has been stated in the notes of the audited balance sheet, hence the same has been considered in the evaluation. Since the turnover of the bidder is Rs 36.72 Cr which is less than the required turnover of Rs 37.87 Cr, the bidder does not meet the criteria of Turnover as per RFP, hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically non responsive.
5	M/s Espan Infrastructure (I) limited*	(i) UDIN ICAI certificate does not depict the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify. (ii) Name of the Banker is missing. It is requested to provide the same as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III. (iii) As per clause 2.2.2.8 (ii) of the RFP, undertaking from the statutory auditor to be submitted regarding non submission of Audited Balance sheet for FY 2019-20 (iv) It is requested to kindly mention which work has been taken as similar work in category 1 & 3 along with the requisite completion certificate of the client.	(i) The bidder clarified that the Maximum 2 figures are required to upload the turnover value of last 5 year on UDIN ICAI portal. (ii) The bidder has clarified the reference page No. of the submitted bid, where the details of the banker can be obtained. (iii) The bidder clarified the page No. of the submitted the page No. of the submitted bid at which undertaking for the non submission of the Audited Balance sheet for FY 2020-19 can be obtained. (iv) The bidder has considered Project code "B" for the consideration of similar work in category 1&3 wherein the bidder was authorised sub contractor for MoRTH. A completion certificate from the main contractor has been provided by the Contractor.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. It is found that the bidder has not submitted the work completion certificate from the Authority for the similar work. However the bidder has submitted the certificate from the main contractor that the bidder has completed the work sub contracted to them. The committee deliberated the issue and is of the view that since the bidder is an approved sub contractor and has completed the work assigned to them and received the payment also, hence the work is to be considered as single category 1& 3. Accordingly the bid is declared as technically responsive.

Ajay

M

De la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya della

m

Page 4 of 10

	M/a N =====::	(') D : 1 (1		
6	M/s Naagaamii Infratech		(i) Compiled	The reply submitted
	Private	UDIN No for Appendix 1A for the certificate	(ii) Compiled	by the bidder has
	Limited	pertaining to	(ii) compiled	been scrutinized by the committee. The
		calculation of 'B' of	(iii) Certificate from CA	
		Bid Capacity. It is	is enclosed for	by the bidder is
		requested to provide	reference. Any other	provisional for FY
		the same.	details required, if any,	2019-20. The
		(ii) Name of the banker	shall be furnished again.	provisional Net Worth
		as stated in Para 6 on		is not considered ,
		Annexure III is not		hence the net worth
		visible. Please clarify.		for FY 2018-19 has
		(iii) Appendix X,		been considered
		Appendix XI not provided in the RFP format.		which is 5.84 Cr as
		in the RF Tormat.		per the balance sheet of FY 2018-19. Since
		(iv) Net worth does not	e e	the net worth
		match with Balance		provided is less than
		sheet. Please clarify.		the requisite
				qualifying net worth
				of 9.47 Cr, hence the
				committee decided to
				treat the bid as
				technically non-
7	M/s MSR	1. M/s MSR	1. M/s MSR	responsive.
	Constructions	Constructions	Constructions	The reply submitted by the bidder has been
	JV M/s LNS		33.130.400.131	scrutinized by the
	Infrastrutures	(i) Audit Balance sheet	(i) The bidder has	committee. Since the
		of FY 2017-18 not	submitted Audited	bidder is technically and
		provided. Please	Balance Sheet FY 2017-	financially eligible.
		provide the same.	18.	Hence the committee
		(ii) UDIN ICAI	(ii) The bidder clarified	decided to consider the
		certificate does not	that the Maximum 2	bid as Technically
		depict the turnover of	figures are required to upload the turnover	responsive.
		last 5 years. Please	value of last 5 year on	
		clarify.	UDIN ICAI portal.	
		2 11/21/10	2. M/s LNS	
		2. M/s LNS	Infrastrutures	
		Infrastrutures	(i) The bidder has submitted	
		(i) Appendix x, xi not	Appendix X, XI as per RFP	
-		provided, please	format.	-
		clarify	,	
		<i>10</i>		
8	M/s Jayzee	(i) Appendix x, xi not	(i) The bidder has	The reply submitted by
	Construction	provided, please	submitted the Appendix	the bidder has been
		clarify	X, XI as per RFP format.	scrutinized by the
		(ii) Year wise breakup	(ii) The bidder has submitted the UDIN no	committee. Since the
		of payment received	which shows year wise	bidder is technically and financially eligible.
		for Technical	break of receivable	Hence the committee
		Threshold capacity is	value on UDIN Portal	decided to consider the
		not reflected in UDIN	3	bid as Technically
		Portal. Please clarify		responsive.
				0 - 1

/M

gh p

Page 5 of 10

9	M/s Mayasheel Construction JV M/S JPS Projects Private Limited	1. M/s Mayasheel Construction (i) Appendix x, xi not provided, please clarify (ii) Year wise breakup of payment received for Technical Threshold capacity is not reflected in UDIN Portal. Please clarify 2. M/s JPS Projects Private Limited I. UDIN ICAI certificate does not depict the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify.	1. M/s Mayasheel Construction (i) The bidder has provided Appendix X, XI as per RFP format. (ii) The bidder has provided the same year wise breakup and reflecting the same in UDIN portal as well. 2. M/s JPS Projects Private Limited (i) The bidder has submitted the turn over certificate reflecting the turnover of last 5 financial year in the UDIN portal.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
10	M/s Narondra	II. Year wise breakup of payment received for Technical Threshold capacity is not reflected in UDIN Portal. Please clarify	(ii) The bidder has provided the year wise breakup of payments and reflecting the same in UDIN portal as well.	
10	M/s Narendra Sharma	 (i) Invalid UDIN no mentioned in project code "D"&"E" please Clarify. (ii) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III is not visible. Please Clarify. (iii) Mismatch of Net worth value with the Audited Balance Sheet. Please Clarify. 	 (i) The bidder has provided correct UDIN no for the project code D & E. (ii) The detail of the banker has not been provided by the bidder. (iii) As per clarification letter submitted by the bidder regarding mismatch of the net worth value with the Audited Balance sheet, bidder has self claimed the corrected Net worth value of Rs 9.87 cr. The same have been considered in the evaluation. 	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
11	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited	(i) Year wise breakup of payment received for Technical Threshold capacity is not reflected in UDIN Portal. Please clarify . (ii) UDIN ICAI	(i) The bidder has submitted Appendix X, XI as per the requisite format of the RFP. Also, the turnover for the last five years along with the net worth has been authenticated from the	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided

M

the

m

Page 6 of 10

		certificate does not depict the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify (iii) Name of the Banker is missing. It is requested to provide the same as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III	balance sheets provided by the bidder. (ii) For the year wise break up for the payment received for works taken under Technical Threshold Capacity, the bidder has provided the total payment in the UDIN portal. (iii) The bidder has submitted detail of Banker as per RFP format	to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
12	M/s RSM Infraprojects	(i) Appendix IV is not as per RFP format. Also CA certificate has not been provided. Please clarify (ii) UDIN ICAI certificate does not depict the turnover of last 5 years. Please clarify. (iii) "National Highway Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited" is missing in the Power of Attorney. Please clarify	(i) The bidder has submitted the appendix IV as per RFP format. (ii) The bidder has submitted corrected turnover certificate along with UDIN no (iii) The bidder has submitted the corrected Power of attorney as per RFP format	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
13	M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited JV M/S Viva Infrastructure Private Limited*	1. M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited (i) Annexure II - In valid UDIN no provided. Please Clarify (ii) Appendix x- Invalid UDIN no provided. (iii) Annexure XI - UDIN no. has not been provided. Please clarify. (iv) Considering for single in completion Certificate type of road whether NH/SH other not specified. Please	1. M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited (i) The bidder has submitted Annexure II as per RFP. (ii) The bidder has submitted the Appendix X along with the corrected UDIN no. (iii) The bidder has submitted the Appendix X along with the corrected UDIN no. (iv) The bidder has provided the completion certificate for the requisite work.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized. The bidder has submitted the completion certificate of work of "Widening & Strengthening of Urban road by Cement concrete pavement in Dist. Chitrakoot under Twarit Arthik Vikas Yojana". The road is under urban road of Chitrakoot district which is under Category 4, hence

M

Agh

m

Page 7 fro

		clarify.	Private Limited	not considered
			Filvate Limited	under similar work.
		2. Viva Infrastructure Private Limited (i) Annexure IV project UDIN in valid. Please Clarify	(i) The bidder has provided the valid UDIN no for Annexure IV, the same have been observed on the UDIN Portal.	Further, it was observed that M/s Viva infrastructure has carried out work under CRF which comes under category 3, hence the same is considered for similar work.
				Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive
14	M/s Singh Construction Company	(i) Year wise breakup of payment received for Technical Threshold capacity is not reflected in UDIN Portal. Please clarify	The bidder has submitted the certificate of year wise payment received and the same is reflected in UDIN portal as well.	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
15	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	(i) Year wise breakup of payment received for Technical Threshold capacity is not reflected in UDIN Portal. Please clarify.	The bidder clarified that the Maximum 2 figures are required to upload the turnover value of last 5 year on UDIN ICAI portal	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible.
				Hence the committee decided to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
16	M/s GHV (India) Private Limited	(i) Year wise breakup of payment received for Technical Threshold capacity is not reflected in UDIN Portal. Please clarify (ii) UDIN no. not mentioned / Missing/ in valid project code	 (i) The bidder has submitted the certificate of year wise payment received and the same is reflected in UDIN portal as well. (ii) The bidder has provided the UDIN no for the project code "e, g, j, k" 	The reply submitted by the bidder has been scrutinized by the committee. Since the bidder is technically and financially eligible. Hence the committee decided

M

the

m

Page 8 \$ 10

		"e, g, j, k". Please clarify		to consider the bid as Technically responsive.
17	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	 (i) Name of the banker as stated in Para 6 on Annexure III is not visible. Please Clarify. (ii) Appendix X, XI required as per RFP format. 	 (i) The bidder has submitted the details of the banker for Annexure III. (ii) The bidder has provided the Appendix X, XI as per RFP format 	It was brought to the notice of the committee that the bidder has been awarded 2 projects in NHIDCL both in the state of Manipur i.e. Senapti-Imphal Pkg-4A - JV Senapati - Imaphal Pkg 4B- sole. Hence as per RFP clause 2.1.15, the bid is considered non responsive.

- 6. In regards to that M/s Jainco Enterprises Private Limited, M/s TTC Infra India and M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited, it was brought to the notice of committee that the three bidders have already been awarded 2 projects in NHIDCL. As per RFP clause 2.1.15, it is stated "The bidder including individual or any of its JV member, who are either having 2 (two) on-going EPC Project(s) in NHIDCL or on-going Project(s) worth of ₹ 500 Crore (Awarded Cost) or more in NHIDCL, as on date of financial bid opening, shall not be eligible to bid for this Project (Issuance of LOA will be considered as on-going project).". Hence the Committee decided to treat the bids of M/s Jainco Enterprises Private Limited, M/s TTC Infra and M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited as non responsive.
- 7. Also, the bidder M/s Rajkeshari projects. Ltd has not submitted the technical bid complete with all the requisite documents. The bidder has only submitted 1 project for technical threshold capacity in which the certificate of Statutory Auditor is missing. The bidder does not qualify the requisite technical threshold capacity of Rs 56.76 Cr with the submitted project. Also, it is stated that the work provided by the bidder is only 36.54% completed; hence the bidder does not qualify on one completed project of similar work as per RFP clause 2.2.2.2(ii). Further, the Auditor's report including Balance Sheet, Income statement etc has also not been submitted by the bidder. Since the technical bid has completely not been completely submitted by the bidder and as per the documents submitted, the bidder does not meet the technical/financial requirement, hence the Committee decided to declare the bid as Technically Non responsive.
- 8. The details of Technical Capacity, Financial Capacity and the Bid Capacity of the above bidders are as Annexure =1.
- 9. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) in its 2nd meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation the status of evaluation is as below.

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status	No. of Projects held with NHIDCL
1	M/s Agarwal Global Infratech Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
2	M/s Anusha Projects	Technically Responsive	1
3	M/s BKD Infrastructure	Technically Responsive	0
4	M/s Naagaamii Infratech Pvt. Ltd	Technically Non	0

M

the

m

Page 9 \$10

		Responsive	
5	M/s Rajkeshariprojects. Ltd.	Technically Non Responsive	0
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	Technically Responsive	1
7	M/s CSR Infratech India Private Limited	Technically Non Responsive	0
8	M/s Espan Infrastructure (I) limited	Technically Responsive	0
9	M/s Jayzee Construction	Technically Responsive	0
10	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	Non Responsive	2
11	M/s Mayasheel Construction - JPS Projects Private Limited (JV)	Technically Responsive	1
12	M/s MSR Constructions -LNS Infrastrutures (JV)	Technically Responsive	0
13	M/s Narendra Sharma	Technically Responsive	. 0
14	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited	Technically Responsive	0
15	M/s RSM Infraprojects	Technically Responsive	1
16	M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited - Viva Infrastructure Private Limited (JV)	Technically Responsive	0
17	M/s Singh Construction Company	Technically Responsive	1
18	M/s Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0
19	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	Technically Responsive	1
20	M/s Jainco Enterprises Private Limited	Non Responsive	2
21	M/s T.T.C Infra India	Non Responsive	2
22	M/s GHV (India) Private Limited	Technically Responsive	0

10. The Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) recommends to open the financial bid of the 16 technically responsive bidders after the approval of Competent Authority.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

Ajay Ahulwalia (ED-I)

Chairman

A. K. Singh (GM-Tech)

Member

A.K. Jha

Member

Bhaskar Mallick

Manager -Fin.

Member

Annexure - I

	S	ummary of	Technical Evalu	uation		
Sr. No	Bidder Name	Minimum Technical threshold capacity (Clause 2.2.2.2 (i)=Rs. 94.68 Cr.	& 3 in a single complete projects (Clause-	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity) i.e. Rs. 56.81 Cr.	threshold capacity) i.e. Rs. 18.94 Cr.	
1.	M/s Agarwal Global Infratech Private Limited	247.06 Cr	Yes (29.93 Cr)	NA	NA	
2.	M/s Anusha Projects	453.79 Cr	Yes (Rs 107.82 Cr)	NA	NA	
3.	M/s BKD Infrastructure	201.99 Cr	Yes (Rs 42.80 Cr)	NA	NA	
4.	M/s Naagaamii Infratech Pvt. Ltd	172.41	Yes (Rs 97.06 Cr)	NA	NA	
5.	M/s Rajkeshariprojects. Ltd.		he bidder has not inancial documents			
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	289.16	Yes (Rs 59.60 Cr)	NA	NA	
7	M/s CSR Infratech India Private Limited	CSR Infratech India Private 131.43 Cr Yes		NA	NA	
8	M/s Espan Infrastructure (I) limited	192.78 Cr	Yes (Rs 40.20 Cr)	NA	NA	
9	M/s Jayzee Construction	93.56 Cr	Yes (Rs 67.08 Cr)	_NA	_NA	
10	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	The bid has not been evaluated since been awarded to the Contractor.			jects have already	
11	M/s Mayasheel Construction - JPS Projects Private Limited (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 127.21 Cr)	107.75 Cr	714.27 Cr	
12	M/s MSR Constructions -LNS Infrastrutures (JV)	NA	Yes (Rs 102.95 Cr)	79.82 Cr	102.95 Cr	
13	M/s Narendra Sharma	116.39 Cr	Yes (Rs 38.06 Cr)	NA	NA	

14	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited	239.38 Cr	Yes (Rs 104.41 Cr)	NA	NA			
15	M/s RSM Infraprojects	341.71 Cr	Yes (Rs 143.86 Cr)	NA	NA			
16	M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited - Viva Infrastructure Private Limited (JV)		Yes (Rs 37.88 Cr)	330.92 Cr	60.97 Cr			
17	M/s Singh Construction Company	285.94 Cr	Yes (Rs 49.92 Cr)	NA	NA			
18	M/s Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	202.10 Cr	Yes (Rs 61.50 Cr)	NA	NA			
19	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	400.86 Cr	Yes (Rs 257.12 Cr)	NA	NA			
20	M/s Jainco Enterprises Private Limited	The bid has not been evaluated since 2 projects have already been awarded to the Contractor.						
21	M/s T.T.C Infra India	The bid has not been evaluated since 2 projects have already been awarded to the Contractor.						
22	M/s GHV (India) Private Limited	920.06 Cr	Yes (RS 202.04 Cr)	NA	NA			

Sumi	mary of Financial Evaluation						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Claimed Net Worth (in INR Crores)	I III COVOR (10	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requireme nt	
1.	M/s Agarwal Global Infratech Private Limited	SE	-	20.61 Cr	68.97 Cr	Υ	
2.	M/s Anusha Projects	SE	-	54.65 Cr	118.81 Cr	Υ	
3.	M/s BKD Infrastructure	SE	-	34.96 Cr	126.93 Cr	Υ	
4.	M/s Naagaamii Infratech Pvt. Ltd	SE	-	5.18	64.82 Cr	N	
5.	M/s Rajkeshariprojects. Ltd.	The bid has not been evaluated since the bidder has not submitted all the requisite technical and financial documents in the bid.					
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	SE	±	47.97 Cr	106.84 Cr	Υ	

7	M/s CSR Infratech India Private Limited	SE SE	-	21.32 Cr	36.72 Cr	Υ	
8	M/s Espan Infrastructure (I) limited	SE	-	15.51 Cr	78.53 Cr	Υ	
9	M/s Jayzee Construction	SE	-	11.78 Cr	44.77 Cr	Υ	
10	M/s Lekcon Infrastructure Private Limited	The bid has awarded to	not been evalu the Contractor	uated since 2 pr	ojects have alrea	dy been	
11	M/s Mayasheel Construction - JPS Projects Private Limited (JV)	JV	JV - Mayashe 7.75 Cr JPS - 87.24Cr		Mayasheel- 44.13 Cr JPS - 346.76 Cr	Y	
12	M/s MSR Constructions -LNS Infrastrutures (JV)	Management description of the control of the contro		MSR- 21.89 Cr LNS- 23.04 Cr LNS- 2.84 Cr		Y	
13	M/s Narendra Sharma	SE		9.87 Cr	84.18 Cr	Υ	
14	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited	SE	-	155.06 Cr	121.66 Cr	Υ	
15	M/s RSM Infraprojects	SE		11.08 Cr	68.34 Cr	Υ	
16	M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited - Viva Infrastructure Private Limited (JV)	JV	-	S.S.Infra - 26.09 Cr Viva- 7.50 Cr	S.S. Infra- 94.40 Cr Viva - 20.15 Cr	Υ	
17	M/s Singh Construction Company	SE	-	23.29 Cr	63.92 Cr	Υ	
18	M/s Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	SE	-	34.73 Cr	122.36 Cr	Υ	
19	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	SE	1-	35.17 Cr	348.93 Cr	Υ	
20	Private Limited	The bid has not been evaluated since 2 projects have already been awarded to the Contractor.					
21	M/s T.T.C Infra India	awarded to t	not been evalu he Contractor.	ated since 2 pro	jects have alread	ly been	
22	M/s GHV (India) Private Limited	SE	-	237.04 Cr	909.56 Cr	Υ	

			Statement	of Bid Capa	city Assessm	ent			
		Minimum	Requireme	nt of Bid Ca	pacity = Rs.	94.68 C	rore		
S No	Name of the Applicant	Financial / Calendar Year for which "A" has been claimed	Updation factor	Calculate Annual Turnover (Rs. Cr.)	A (Annual Turnover x Updation factor) Rs. Cr.	N	B (Rs. Cr.)	A x N x 2.5 - B (Rs. Cr.)	Whether Qualifying or Not

1	M/s Agarwal Global Infratech Private Limited		1.10	104.06	114.46	1.50	285. 16	144.0 6	Yes
2	M/s Anusha Projects	2018	1.05	237.11	248.96	1.50	602. 97	330.6	Yes
3	M/s BKD Infrastructure	2015	1.20	169.1	202.92	1.50	313. 98	446.9 7	Yes
4	Naagaamii Infratech Pvt. Ltd	2018	1.05	108.37	113.79	1.50	97.2 3	329.4 8	Yes
5	M/s Rajkeshariproje cts. Ltd.	The bid hat technical a	The bid has not been evaluated since the bidder has not submitted all the requisite technical and financial documents in the bid.						
6	M/s Credible Engineering Construction Projects Limited	2016	1.15	138.95	159.79	1.5	103.01	496.20	Yes
7	M/s CSR Infratech India Private Limited	2017	1.15	55.65	64.00	1.5	5.11	234.88	Yes

8	M/s Espan Infrastructure (I) limited		1.05	108.3	113.27	1.5	17.35	409.08	Yes
9	M/s Jayzee Construction	2019	1	73.19	73.19	1.5	0	274.46	Yes
10	Infrastructure Private Limited	Contracto	or.		since 2 proj	ects have	e already l	been award	ded to the
11	M/s Mayasheel Construction - JPS Projects Private Limited (JV)		1.05	153.8 447.42	161.49 492.16	1.5	36.18 317.28	569.41 1528.33	Yes
12	M/s MSR Constructions - LNS Infrastrutures (JV)	2018	1.05	108.37 58.1	113.79 61.01	1.5	97.23 104.35	329.48 124.42	Yes
13	M/s Narendra Sharma	2017	1.1	155.21	170.73	1.5	8.33	631.91	Yes
14	M/s Niraj Cement Structurals Limited	2019	1	123.63	123.63	1.5	34.32	429.29	Yes
15	M/s RSM Infraprojects	2017	1.1	174.25	191.68	1.5	176.31	542.47	Yes
16	M/s S.S. Infrazone Private Limited - Viva Infrastructure Private Limited (JV)	2018	1.05	153.8 39.41	161.49 47.29	1.5	69.29 11.64	536.30 165.71	Yes
17	M/s Singh Construction Company	2018	1.05	104.59	109.82	1.5	296.3	411.82	Yes
18	M/s Srinivasa Edifice Private Limited	2018	1.05	186.81	196.15	1.5	254.2	481.36	Yes
19	M/s Valecha Engineering Limited	2015	1.15	708.33	814.33	1.5	923.28	2131.39	Yes
20	M/s Jainco Enterprises Private Limited	Contracto		*	ince 2 proje				•
21	M/s T.T.C Infra India	Contractor	1.		ince 2 proje				
22	M/s GHV (India) Private Limited	2018	1.05	1258.13	1321.03	1.5	1894.12	1408.47	Yes